

EFFECTIVE DEVELOPMENT IN ORGANISATION IS CONDITIONED BY EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Zdenko STACHO¹, Katarína STACHOVÁ²

Authors: Ing. Zdenko Stacho, Ing. Katarína Stachová, PhD.

*Workplace: ¹Faculty of Materials Science and Technology,
Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava,*

Address: Paulínska 16, 917 24 Trnava, Slovak Republic

*Workplace: ²Department of Management,
School of Economics and Management in Public Administration in
Bratislava,*

Address: Železničná 14, 82107 Bratislava, Slovak Republic

E-mail: zdenko.stacho@stuba.sk, stachova.katarina@vsemvs.sk,

Abstract

If organisation wants to develop effectively, it is necessary for it to draw attention primarily to its employees. Priority interest should be in objective and comprehensive evaluation of employee performance, enabling programme development of knowledge, skills, attitudes, value orientation, creativity, motivation, cooperativeness and further characteristics of each employee. However, performance evaluation is not only a basis for development of employees but it also represents a basic feature of human resources management and thus has a significant impact on running organisations. If we realize what impact performance evaluation has on running and development of organisations, results of 2010 and 2011 researches sound significantly negative.

Key words

education of employees, human resource management, human resource development, performance, employee evaluation.

Jel classification: L 25, M 53, M 54,

Working performance evaluation

Working performance evaluation represents a crucial personnel activity focused on finding out how employees perform their job, how they fulfil tasks and requirements of their working position, what their working behaviour is, and what their relationships are with co-workers, customers and other persons they are in contact with in relation to their work (Koubek 2004). Evaluation should also comprise communication, most often between direct superior and evaluated employee, focused on evaluation of information obtained upon evaluation. (Sedlák 2008) It should involve accentuation of positive features of working performance and social behaviour of employee, and reference to found insufficiencies. (Stachová 2011) However, it is essential that employee evaluation does not stick only to stating of working performance positive and negative features. Regarding found positive features, it is necessary to motivate employee to continue with the given behaviour, and

regarding found insufficiencies, it is necessary to ensure employee support in their elimination (Stýblo 2003). The most appropriate form of support is usually to provide employee an opportunity to educate. Further education opportunity provision is also suitable as a form of motivation, since this is how organisation shows employees that they are so valuable for it that it is willing to invest financial means in them, which subsequently implies that it counts on them also for the future, whether at currently occupied position or at a higher position after higher education completion.

The given characteristics of employee working performance evaluation directly imply significance of evaluation impact on education, remuneration and carrier management of employees. That is why we focused, within our research, on the analysis of current status of employee evaluation in organisations operating in Slovakia. Results obtained in the research are provided in this contribution.

Analysis of Research Results

Objective of the article is to present results of the research executed in the period from February 2010 to May 2010, and from February 2011 to May 2011, aimed predominantly at finding out whether and how human resources management is currently implemented in organisations operating in Slovakia. Regarding extent of the given issue, the research was divided into ten partial objectives, while one of them was to identify whether organisations deal with employee evaluation and whether its results are subsequently used upon remuneration, education and carrier development.

Set of respondents comprised 340 organisations operating in Slovakia, while the main condition posed on the organisation was the size of at least 50 employees. Overall size structure of interviewed organisations is given in (Figure 1), implying that organisations with the number of employees between 50 and 300 were the most represented in the research.




Fig. 1 Size structure of the analysed organisations

Within research focused on finding out whether organisations deal with employee evaluation, we were particularly interested in whether they had established a formal system of employee evaluation, whose opinion is required upon obtaining information within formal evaluation system and which methods of working performance evaluation are preferred in organisations. All information was dealt with in relation to individual employee categories, i.e. management, specialists, administrative employees and manual workers. We were subsequently interested in whether employees were familiarised with evaluation results and whether they had an opportunity to comment on them. Last but not least, we also focused on spheres in which organisations use information obtained through employee working performance evaluation.

Systemic approach was applied for the research processing, and obtained information was processed through methods of induction, deduction, analysis, synthesis and generalisation. Questionnaire survey was used to analyse current state of focus of organisations operating in Slovakia on employee working performance evaluation, and statistical methods were used upon its processing. Most values were expressed as percentage upon results summarisation. Comparison method was used upon current state evaluation, comparing organisations dealing with employee evaluation to those who do not deal with this function of human resources management.

Objective of employee evaluation is working performance permanent enhancement based on whole human resources management system improvement through better knowledge of evaluated employees, their tasks and activities (Kachaňáková *et al.* 2008). In this respect, within our research, we were predominantly interested in whether interviewed organisations deal with employee working performance evaluation, i.e. whether they had established a formal system of evaluation. 80 % of organisations answered the given question positively. Compared to a research of the same character, also conducted at School of Economics and Management in Public Administration in Bratislava, for the same purpose and involving a similar respondent sample, a positive trend can be stated, since the number of organisations has increased by 10 % (Figure 2). However, we do not consider even this state to be ideal with regard to the importance of evaluation function.




Fig. 2 Percentage of organisations with established formal system of evaluation (years 2010, 2011)

With regard to the given fact, the following part of this article will analyse only organisations declaring that they deal with evaluation of their employees actively. To find out whether evaluation system is focused on all employees or only selected ones, we posed the following question: “Do you have a formal employee evaluation system for the following employee categories?” Answers of organisations are shown in (Table 1).

ANSWERS TO QUESTION: „DO YOU HAVE A FORMAL EMPLOYEE EVALUATION SYSTEM FOR THE FOLLOWING EMPLOYEE CATEGORIES?” Table 1

Do you have formal evaluation system for:	% of organisations in 2010	% of organisations in 2011
Managers	64	82
Specialists	68	74
Administrative employees	64	79
Manual workers	58	76

Answers of interviewed organisations implied that employee evaluation is conducted for the management position in the greatest extent. More than 60 % of interviewed organisations

stated that they had established employee evaluation system for all categories. With regard to information on who is evaluated, we were also interested in who evaluates. Theory and practice usually coincide that the most competent person to evaluate employees are their direct superiors. They should also conduct final evaluation of all supporting materials for evaluation, whether they had been compiled by themselves or submitted by anyone else. They should also lead final interview and propose measures resulting from evaluation. (Koubek 2007). However, employee evaluation can be conducted also by other persons from the given organisation or external ones, for instance senior superiors, subordinates, colleagues at the same working position or customers. Self evaluation or assessment centre have been broadly used recently. Each of the given evaluation forms has advantages as well as disadvantages and they cannot be used for all working positions equally. It is up to organisation which evaluation form it chooses, however its objective should be the greatest possible objectivity of evaluation results. Three-hundred-and-sixty-degree feedback is considered to be the most comprehensive evaluation form, within which employee is evaluated by a broad scale of criteria and evaluators. Within our research in organisations operating in Slovakia, we were also finding out whose opinion is required to obtain information upon working performance evaluation. Answers to this question are shown in (Table 2).

ANSWERS TO QUESTION: “IF YOU HAVE A FORMAL EVALUATION SYSTEM, WHOSE OPINION IS REQUIRED TO OBTAIN INFORMATION WITHIN EMPLOYEE EVALUATION?”

Table 2

Whose opinion is required upon evaluation of:	Managers	Specialists	Administrative employees	Manual workers
Direct superior	66	82	78	75
Senior superior	31	26	21	18
Employees themselves	23	26	23	16
Subordinates	7	5	3	3
Colleagues	10	12	9	8
Customers	10	13	5	12

The given implies that direct superiors are used to evaluate employees in the greatest extent, in all employee categories. Senior superiors are used in a significantly smaller extent, or self-evaluation is conducted. Only 3 % of organisations stated that they conduct evaluation through three-hundred-and-sixty-degree feedback.

Within the research, we were also interested in which evaluation methods are most often used to evaluate working performance in individual employee categories. Results are shown in (Table 3).

“WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING METHODS TO EVALUATE WORKING PERFORMANCE DO YOU USE FOR INDIVIDUAL EMPLOYEE CATEGORIES?”

Table 3

Evaluation method for working performance of:	Managers	Specialists	Administrative employees	Manual workers
Evaluation scale	25	30	27	28
Evaluation reports	18	23	16	13
Evaluation based on norms (standards) fulfilment	21	26	20	41
Evaluation of key events	18	17	10	6
Evaluation questionnaire	16	19	18	15

Comparison evaluation	7	12	10	12
Evaluation interview	30	35	29	21
Self evaluation	20	20	15	10
Manager audit	10	3	3	1
Evaluation based on objectives fulfilment	52	51	40	32
Assessment centre	5	3	2	2

The given implies that evaluation based on objectives fulfilment is used in the greatest extent, in 40 % - 52 %, to evaluate management, specialists and administrative employees; evaluation interview follows, in 29 % - 35 %. Evaluation scales are quite often used, in 25 % - 30 %; evaluation based on norms fulfilment is used in 21 % - 26 % and self evaluation in 15 % - 20 %. To evaluate manual workers, evaluation based on norms (standards) fulfilment is most often used, in 41 %; followed by evaluation based on objectives fulfilment and evaluation scales, used in 32 %. Other methods are used in a significantly smaller frequency.

With regard to the fact that evaluation is performed for the purpose of achieving permanent enhancement of working performance based on continuous development of skills and overall competence of employees, as well as their appropriate working behaviour, it is essential not to perceive it as something unwanted. It is therefore important to discuss its consequences with evaluated employees and, on their basis, to set up individual objectives for employees. For this purpose, assessment of evaluation should follow necessarily after evaluation conduction, and evaluated employee should unconditionally participate in it, since they have a right to be familiarised with obtained results as well as they should have room for comments. Within our research, we were also finding out whether employees of analysed organisations have such a possibility. Answers to this question imply (Table 4) that 41 % of interviewed organisations stated that employees have a possibility to comment on the results of working performance evaluation. 18 % of organisations stated that their employees have no possibility to comment on these results (while they have not even been familiarised with them), 21 % stated that employees have been familiarised with evaluation results, however, they do not have a possibility to comment on them, which implies that 39 % of employees are evaluated but evaluation itself is not discussed with them. In 20 % of organisations, working performance evaluation is not conducted at all, as already stated in the introduction.

ANSWERS TO QUESTION: “DO YOUR EMPLOYEES HAVE A POSSIBILITY TO COMMENT ON THE RESULTS OF WORKING PERFORMANCE EVALUATION?” Table 4

Do your employees have a possibility to comment on the results of working performance evaluation?	Positively answering organisations in %
Yes – they are perfectly familiarised with evaluation results and have a possibility to comment on them	41
No – evaluation results are only for evaluators	18
Evaluation results are communicated to employees but they have no possibility to comment on them subsequently	21
We do not conduct working performance evaluation	20

The last question of our research was focused on finding out in which spheres the information obtained upon working performance evaluation is used. Results are shown in (Table 5).

SPHERES WHERE THE INFORMATION OBTAINED THROUGH EMPLOYEE EVALUATION IS USED

Table 5

In which of the following spheres the information obtained through employee evaluation is used?	Positively answering organisations in %
Remuneration	72
Education and development	33
Carrier growth	40
Personnel planning	26

Table 5 implies that information obtained through employee evaluation is used in the greatest extent, in 72 %, within employee remuneration. In a significantly smaller extent, in 40 %, they are used within carrier growth planning; they are used within employee education and development planning in 33 %; and they are used in a smaller extent, only in 26 %, within personnel planning.

Evaluation of Research Results

Based on evaluation of actual work potential that organisation manages, and point of view of stipulated objectives, knowledge, skills, attitudes, value orientation, creativity, motivation, cooperativeness and further characteristics of each employee can be developed programmatically. Except for employee development itself, evaluation can have a positive impact on whole human resources management system, which should eventually be seen in whole organisation efficiency increase.

Analysis of using individual evaluation methods of employee working performance showed that so called traditional evaluation methods, i.e. evaluation based on performance and evaluation based on norms (standards) fulfilment, are most often used. We can evaluate positively that methods like evaluation scales, evaluation interview and self evaluation have gradually started to be used also in organisations operating in Slovakia. Obtained information implies that working performance of organisational management is most often evaluated, and individual methods are used in the greatest percentage upon its evaluation, although even these values cannot be considered as sufficient with regard to modern human resources management.

One of the fundamental evaluation principles is a need to familiarise evaluated employee with evaluation results and to provide them room for comments regarding their view of evaluation. Based on this, discussion should be lead between evaluated person and their direct superior about found state and subsequent improvement of the found state. Due to this reason, finding that in more than 59 % of interviewed organisations, employees do not have a possibility to comment on the results of working performance evaluation, and 18 % of them is not even familiarised with this evaluation sounds negative. It is therefore impossible to fulfil evaluation objectives in these cases, implying that up to 59 % of interviewed organisations do not conduct effective evaluation of their employees, respectively they do not have an evaluation system at all.

With regard to significantly negative finding resulting from answers to the fifth question, we attach to Kachaňáková's statement that working performance evaluation works too often only as a kind of bureaucratic system where personal unit has the main role rather than line managers. It focuses predominantly on the past and on what was wrong, not on looking forwards and dealing with future needs of employee development. Employee evaluation is applied individually in most cases, and it is only a little interconnected with organisational needs. Line managers often refuse it as something requiring much time and not having a

significant meaning. Employees are irritated by shallowness with which managers often conduct it, lacking necessary skills or only wanting to fulfil the task without problems. This reflects justification of the given research in practice, since organisational managements have a possibility to compare current evaluation system of working performance to state that interviewed organisations declared, and to consider possibilities of its enhancement on this basis.

References

1. KACHAŇÁKOVÁ, A., NACHTMANOVÁ O., JONIAKOVÁ, Z. 2008. *Personálny manažment (Personnel Management)*. 1. Edition. Bratislava: Iura Edition, 235 p. ISBN 978-80-8078-192-7
2. KOUBEK, J. 2007. *Personální práce v malých a středních firmách (Personal Work in Small and Medium Enterprises.)* 3. revised and expanded edition. Prague: Grada Publishing, a.s., 264 p. ISBN 978-80-247-2202-3
3. KOUBEK, J. 2004. *Řízení pracovního výkonu (Working Performance Management)*, Prague : Management Press, 209 p. ISBN 80-7261-116-X
4. SEDLÁK, M. 2008. *Základy manažmentu (Essentials of Management.)* Bratislava: Iura Edition, 310 p. ISBN 978-80-8078-193-4
5. STACHOVÁ, K. 2011. *Praktikum – Personálny manažment (Practice – Personal management.)* Ed. 1. Bratislava: crr. sk, 114 p. ISBN 978-80-8137-010-6
6. STÝBLO, J. 2003. *Personální manažment v malých a středních podnicích (Personnel Management in Small and Medium Businesses.)* Ed. 1. Praha: Management Press, 146 p. ISBN 80-7261-097-X

Reviewers:

Doc. Ing. Miloš Čambál, CSc.
Ing. Lucia Baňasová, PhD.